

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/726,504	12/04/2003	Junya Kosaka	500.34894CC5	7195	
20457 75	90 04/27/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			MOSKOWITZ, NELSON		
SUITE 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22209-9889		3663		

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/726,504	KOSAKA, JUNYA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nelson Moskowitz	3663					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by significant the set of extended period for reply will, by significant the set of extended period for reply will, by significant the set of extended period for reply will, by significant the set of extended period for reply will, by significant the set of extended period for reply will, by significant the set of extended period for reply will, by significant the set of extended period for reply will, by significant the set of extended period for reply will, by significant the set of extended period for reply will, by significant the set of extended period for reply will, by significant the set of extended period for reply will, by significant the set of extended period for reply will, by significant the set of extended period for reply will, by significant the set of extended period for reply will, by significant the set of extended period for reply will be set of extended perio	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thire string will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
,	This action is non-final.						
· —							
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6</u> is/are pending in the application	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/04/03</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	gp,,	,					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		opplication No. 08/721,734.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the							
application from the International Bu	· -	Ç					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/04/03. 	7	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/726,504 Page 2

Art Unit: 3662

DETAILED ACTION

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 2-6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,038,062, or claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No.5,864,423. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the pending claims do not require the more complex amplifier claimed in the patent. Those amplifiers comprise in addition, probe signals and light branching means. The broadened scope of the pending claims provides no novel or unexpected result over the more specific amplifier claimed in the aforesaid patents..

2) The references cited by Applicant (PTO-1449) have been considered and found to be representative of the state of this art.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,504 Page 3

Art Unit: 3662

872-9327.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nelson Moskowitz whose telephone number is 703-306-4165. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor,

Thomas Tarcza, can be reached on (703) 306-4171. The before final fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9326. The after final fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

NELSON MOSKOWITZ PRIMARY EXAMINER